

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/849,513	05/05/2001	Dennis G. Earnshaw	070325-040017	8685		
33717 GREENBERG	7590 01/29/200 TRAURIG LLP (LA)	EXAM	EXAMINER			
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SANTA MONICA, CA 90404			VIG, N	VIG, NARESH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3629			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/29/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/849,513	EARNSHAW, DENNIS G.	
ı	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NARESH VIG	3629	

	NARESH VIG	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07 (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interest for the date set for the term of the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (b).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. _ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.14-20 and 22-24.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/Naresh Vig/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629 In claims 1 and 14, applicant has added limitation

by interpreting communication format indicators associated with each of the plurality of recipient parties received in an electronic file format at the transaction service server

which would require further consideration and/or search.

Clited references clearly that a non-computer communication format type of delivery of a business document can be embedded with an online eCommerce system where the communication among business and clients is in computer communication format (see, IBM chapter 13). In addition, IBM teaches Customer Master File which has the capability for holding information related to the customer. During generating of document, IBM teaches capability for automatically retrieving customer information when generating business document (see, IBM chapter 13, page 184, 185).

Cited reference Akimoto clearly teaches that a business document can be delivered to the recipient in the communication format of the intended recepient of the business document.